

JAN 18 2017

David J. Bradley, Clerk of Court

**IN THE U.S DISTRICT COURT**  
**SOUTHERN DISTRICT, TEXAS (CORPUS**  
**CHRISTI)**

**COLLINS O. NYABWA(Plaintiff)**

**VS**

**FACEBOOK(Defendant)**

**42 U.S.C. § 1983 COMPLAINT AND BRIEF IN**  
**SUPPORT THEREOF**

COLLINS O. NYABWA

PLAINTIFF (*PRO SE*)

4213 S. PORT AVENUE

P.O. BOX 71889

CORPUS CHRISTI, TX 78467

## **BACKGROUND**

1. On 11/24/2016 plaintiff registered an internet domain name called "emolumentsclause.com". "Emoluments Clause" has become a popular phrase after the election of Donald J. Trump on November 8, 2016 because his Presidency appears headed for major business conflict of interest issues. Plaintiff intended his website to become a hub of information regarding President Trump's business conflicts of interest.
2. On 11/25/2016 Plaintiff set up a Twitter account with the same name "Emoluments Clause". Plaintiff's Twitter account is still up and running.
3. On 11/26/2016 Plaintiff set up a Facebook account with the same name "Emoluments Clause". Plaintiff has a link to his website("www.emolumentsclause.com") on his Facebook Profile page. Facebook also has plaintiff's cell phone number on file.
4. After running without incident, Facebook without warning, locked plaintiff's account at around 2pm on Sunday 12/4/2016. When plaintiff attempted to log into his account he was met with the message "For security reasons your account is temporarily locked".
5. Facebook asked plaintiff to upload to their website a Government Issued Photo ID.
6. Plaintiff uploaded his valid Texas Driver License leaving absolutely NO DOUBT as to his identity.
7. Even after providing Facebook with a valid Texas Driver License, plaintiff is still locked out of his Facebook page. It is very clear that Facebook is not worried about plaintiff's identity, but is rather punishing plaintiff because of the content of his political speech. These unconstitutional actions (after submitting Government ID) form the basis of this

42 U.S.C. §1983 complaint. Simply stated, the defendant's actions after plaintiff provided a valid ID are unconstitutional.

### **DIVERSITY JURISDICTION**

8. Federal diversity requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 1332. Under Section 1332, a district court has jurisdiction over civil matters "where the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and is between citizens of different States." A federal diversity action requires complete diversity. See *Stiftung v. Plains Mktg.*, L.P., 603 F.3d 295, 297 (5th Cir. 2010).
9. This is a \$10 million civil suit brought against Facebook, a corporation domiciled in Menlo Park, California. Plaintiff is a long-time resident of the state of Texas and has never visited or lived in California. Federal diversity jurisdiction therefore applies.

### **VENUE**

10. Since October 2016, plaintiff has been working and residing in Corpus Christi, which is in Nueces County, Texas. Venue is therefore proper

### **ARGUMENT**

11. As stated in the procedural history, plaintiff set up a Facebook page called "Emoluments Clause" on 11/26/2016. Plaintiff is the author of a political website [www.emolumentsclause.com](http://www.emolumentsclause.com).

12. To promote his website, plaintiff has established similarly named Social Media accounts—"Emoluments Clause" on Twitter<sup>1</sup> (Handle @Emolclause), "Emoluments Clause" on Youtube<sup>2</sup> and "Emoluments Clause" on Facebook.
13. To Plaintiff's surprise(delightful), the Facebook account has received tremendous traffic in the few days it has been operational (less than a week).
14. The biggest traffic came on Sunday 12/4/2016 after plaintiff posted a story about Dr. Jill Stein's appearance on Fox News Sunday with Chris Wallace. Plaintiff's post was VERY SUPPORTIVE of Dr. Jill Stein's recount efforts.
15. Plaintiff's Facebook post received numerous comments and was shared many times. Sadly, the popularity of plaintiff's political Facebook page has become a double-edged sword putting him in the cross-hairs of "Free Speech Chillers"—Facebook Administrators and possibly their law enforcement counterparts<sup>3</sup>.
16. At around 2pm on Sunday 12/4/2016 when plaintiff tried to log into his Facebook page, he was met with the notice "For security reasons your account is temporarily locked". It's not clear how plaintiff's exercise of his legitimate First Amendment rights degenerated into a "security concern". The only logical explanation for this DRASTIC action is that Facebook is TOTALLY OPPOSED to Dr. Jill Stein's recount efforts, and plaintiff, a sympathizer to her cause became the object of their fury.
17. Facebook asked plaintiff to upload a Government issued photo ID which plaintiff did. At the time of uploading the photo ID, Facebook was already in possession of plaintiff's cell

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://twitter.com/Emolclause>

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCOQEYWGQzfOWMRIAHQZAuHQ>

<sup>3</sup> <http://venturebeat.com/2014/05/15/how-the-nsa-fbi-made-facebook-the-perfect-mass-surveillance-tool/>

phone number, website domain name(emolumentsclause.com) and the associated email address—all of which left NO DOUBT WHATSOEVER as to plaintiff's identity. Even after all this information, plaintiff's account remains locked.

18. Reasonable Jurists will agree that Facebook Administrators (and their law enforcement counterparts) are not worried about plaintiff's identity but rather the content of his political speech. Specifically, plaintiff's Facebook account is locked SOLELY because he is engaging in political speech that Facebook Administrators want to silence. Facebook's actions violate the U.S. Constitution in several ways;
19. First Amendment Freedom of Speech and Association—it is settled law that punishing someone for engaging in legitimate<sup>4</sup> speech violates their freedom of speech. Locking or otherwise suspending an account amounts to punitive action. Political speech is protected under the 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment.
20. The defendants cannot demonstrate that plaintiff engaged in ANY political speech that is not protected by the 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment
21. Locking plaintiff out of his account also prevents him from associating with his friends in violation of his 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment **Freedom of Association**.
22. Due Process Violation—There is a well-established due process doctrine that an accused shall be given a fair chance to defend his actions before any punitive action is taken.

---

<sup>4</sup> Certain kinds of speech are not protected by the 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment

23. In this case, if Facebook had “security concerns” because they could not verify plaintiff’s identity, they should have sent a warning stating that if plaintiff failed to comply, they would lock his account.
24. The defendants however did the complete opposite. They meted punishment (locked my account) then proceeded to ask about my identity (prove my innocence). Reasonable jurists will agree that this is a clear cut Due Process violation
25. Racial Discrimination—It is a well-established fact that Facebook and other Social media companies are increasingly working with law enforcement officers.
26. It is also well established in civil rights circles<sup>5</sup> that the subjects of “security concerns” tend to be disproportionately ethnic minorities. In this case, if Plaintiff had been a white man, his popular political Facebook page would be hailed as a success by the defendants.
27. However, because he is a black man, his popular political views become a “security concern” deserving an immediate shut down.
28. Reasonable jurists will agree that the defendant’s “lock-down” practices amount to racial discrimination, and violate the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment
29. Finally, plaintiff’s complaint could provide a unique window into the national security/social media nexus. Americans are increasingly concerned at the alarming rates at which law enforcement officers inject themselves in people’s personal conversations. When even mundane political discussions on social media platforms

---

<https://www.aclu.org/issues/national-security/privacy-and-surveillance><sup>5</sup>

become subjects of "security concerns" requiring people to go through rigorous and needless authentication procedures, it's time for a national debate.

30. Simply stated, if Facebook has been given enough information to positively identify the source of the postings on its social platform, it should not interfere with people's conversations and associations.

**RELIEF SOUGHT**

31. Plaintiff has suffered severe emotional and psychological anguish as a result of being targeted by the defendants for his political speech. As an immigrant and a minority, the thought that your political speech makes you a "security concern" and possibly the subject of law enforcement monitoring is extremely scary.

32. Plaintiff seeks \$10,000,000(10 million dollars) in monetary damages for the needless infringement of his constitutional rights by the defendants.

**JURY TRIAL**

33. Plaintiff requests a trial by jury for all issues so triable

COLLINS O.NYABWA



PLAINTIFF (PRO SE)

4213 S. PORT AVENUE

P.O. BOX 71889

CORPUS CHRISTI, TX 78467

JS 44 (Rev. 08/16)

**CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

**I. (a) PLAINTIFFS**

COLINS O. MABWA

## (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff

NUCES

(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

## (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

**DEFENDANTS**

FACEBOOK

## County of Residence of First Listed Defendant

SAN MATEO COUNTY

(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

## Attorneys (If Known)

**II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

<input type="checkbox"/> 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	<input type="checkbox"/> 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 U.S. Government Defendant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

**III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES** (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

Citizen of This State	PTF	DEF	PTF	DEF
Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6 <input type="checkbox"/> 6

**IV. NATURE OF SUIT** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance	<b>PERSONAL INJURY</b>	<b>PERSONAL INJURY</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act
<input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine	<input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane	<input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	<input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))
<input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	<input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment
<input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument	<input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	<input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability	<b>PROPERTY RIGHTS</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust
<input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	<input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine	<input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights	<input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking
<input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability	<b>PERSONAL PROPERTY</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent	<input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce
<input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	<input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle	<input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud	<input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark	<input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation
<input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	<input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending	<b>LABOR</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
<input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits	<input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury	<input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage	<input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit
<input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract	<input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	<input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations	<input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV
<input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability			<input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
<input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise			<input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions
<b>REAL PROPERTY</b>	<b>CIVIL RIGHTS</b>	<b>PRISONER PETITIONS</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation	<input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts
<input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights	<b>Habeas Corpus:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters
<input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure	<input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting	<input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee	<b>FEDERAL TAX SUITS</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act
<input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	<input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment	<input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	<input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	<input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration
<input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land	<input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/ Accommodations	<input type="checkbox"/> 530 General	<input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision
<input type="checkbox"/> 245 Torts Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	<input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty		<input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
<input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	<b>Other:</b>		
	<input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	<input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other	<b>IMMIGRATION</b>	
		<input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights	<input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application	
		<input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition	<input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	
		<input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement		

**V. ORIGIN** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 Original Proceeding	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 Removed from State Court	<input type="checkbox"/> 3 Remanded from Appellate Court	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 Reinstated or Reopened	<input type="checkbox"/> 5 Transferred from Another District (specify)	<input type="checkbox"/> 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer	<input type="checkbox"/> 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File
---	---	--	---	--	--	---

**VI. CAUSE OF ACTION**

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):

Brief description of cause:

FIRST AMENDMENT, DUE PROCESS &amp; RACIAL DISCRIMINATION

**VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:** CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.

DEMANDS \$10,000,000

(10 MILLION)

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:

JURY DEMAND:  Yes  No**VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY**

(See Instructions):

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

DATE 12/5/2016 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD COLINS O. MABWA Plaintiff Prose

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFF JUDGE MAG. JUDGE